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Abstract

The human beings’ image of himself has been researched for decades. It influences every person individually every day and is therefore tremendously important for the consumer behaviour. The self-concept in consumer behaviour reflects more types of self-perspectives where the actual and the ideal self-image as well as the social self-image and the ideal social self-image belong to. The actual self-concept represents the current perceived state of the person and it reflects his current image of himself. The ideal self-concept is a formation of the future potential self-concept as it constitutes the achievement of the desired image of a person. The social self-concept represents the image the individual thinks others might attach to him. According to the Self-Congruity Theory individuals possess a need to express their self-identity.

Three types of motivation exist to aspire to congruency. The first one is the individual’s basic need to pursue or to increase a positive self-esteem. The customer’s aspiration for consistency represents the second type of motivation. The third motivation is the ambition of self-assessment. The results indicate that human beings are able to satisfy these three motivations due to the purchasing and possessing of luxury brands. Additionally it shows that people are in the position to enhance their self-esteem and to achieve their desired ideal-self because of the buying of luxury brands.
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1 Introduction

Over hundreds of years luxury brands and products have held a fascination for human beings (Cristini, Kauppinen-Räisänen, Barthod-Prothade, & Woodside, 2017). Nearly every commodity item is also available as an extraordinary, classy, exclusive model. What are the reasons for this kind of fascination? (Loureiro, Maximiano, & Panchapakesan, 2018) The functional value plays a minor role with reference to luxury brands. As a result of this the consumption of luxury brands seems to be irrational (Seo & Buchanan-Oliver, 2019). Due to the increased wealth of the industrial nations in the eighties and nineties even customers who did not belong to the upper-class were able to buy luxury brands. Democratisation of luxury is the term for this transformation. As a result more and more people buy luxury brands (Atwal & Williams, 2009). Even in times of financial crisis people do not stop consuming luxury brands (Roncha & Montecchi, 2017). What are the reasons that customers are willing to pay more than 500,000 EUR for a sportscar or a limousine whereas they have the opportunity to buy a much cheaper car which enables them to be mobile too? (Kapferer & Valette-Florence, 2016b) Another example is that consumers buy watches that cost thousands of euros, although they could buy much cheaper watches which also fulfil the function to indicate the correct time (Semaan, Ashill, Williams, & Dhahi, 2019). This deductive study refers to the Self-Expression Model to give answers to the question why people are willing to spend an enormous amount of money on the consumption of luxury brands.

2 Theoretical framework

2.1 Luxury brands

The literature does not offer an established definition of the term luxury brand (Kapferer & Valette-Florence, 2016a). Despite different existing definitions researchers are of the opinion that consumers decide whether the brand is assessed as a luxury brand (Kapferer & Michaut, 2015). There are five characteristics that define a luxury brand (Ko, Costello, & Taylor, 2017). The first criterion is a high quality of the manufacture and the material used (Kapferer & Laurent, 2016).

The second factor is the offer of emotional benefits due to an authentic value (J. Kim & Joung, 2016). To build an eminent image based on a high quality of service, craftsmanship and artisanship represents the third element (Miller & Mills, 2012).

The fourth criterion is the dignity to edict a superior price.

The fifth characteristic is a profound relationship respectively a deep resonance with the consumer (Fastoso, Bartikowski, & Wang, 2018).

2.2 Self-Expression Model

The human being’s self-concept has a major significance for the research of the customer behaviour as a result of the fact that his image of himself influences his behaviour (Gecas, 1982). The self-concept is defined as all cognitively represented feelings and thoughts of a human being with reference to himself (Sirgy, 1982). People perceive themselves as a specific personality (Uher, 2017).

The personality of a person is strongly connected with his self-concept (Adam, Obodaru, Lu, Maddux, & Galinsky, 2018). Important elements of the individual’s self-concept are personality traits which are distinguishable characteristics of an individual’s personality (Pilarska, 2018). All human-being’s mind anchored personality traits due to its subordinate dimension are defined as personality (Mõttus, 2016). The self-concept refers to individual personality traits (Geukes, van Zalk, & Back, 2018). The individual’s self is understood as a process of dialogue. They differentiate between the person as an object “Me” and the person as an subject “I” (Porankiewicz-Żukowska, 2017). Similar to objects or human beings the “Me” could be part of reflexive perception and assessment. The “Me” is the entirety of the self-
centered knowledge. The “I” is understood as a judging thinking that responds to different conditions by virtue of perceptions, learning and experiences (Mead, 1913). For this reason the “I” is not able to be a direct object of the mental activity (James, Burkhardt, Bowers, & Skrupskelis, 1890). The system of intentions, values, rules and attitudes build the knowledge about the individual’s self (Gecas, 1982). As a result of this human beings can coordinate their actions perceptions, feelings and emotions in relation to their environment with particular regard of their family, friends and reference groups (Jia, Soucie, Alisat, Curtin, & Pratt, 2017). Due to these information human beings are able to find out who they are. The self-concept is the result of the individual’s assessment about himself (Fox, Bacile, Nakhata, & Weible, 2018). The self-concept has a dynamic and complex cognitive structure and is defined as the individual’s knowledge about himself and the individual’s point of view about the own personality (Marsh et al., 2019). Exogenous and situational influences affect the customer’s behaviour that is based on his personality traits (Pappas, Kourouthanassis, Giannakos, & Chrissikopoulos, 2017). The self-concept is a unique and active process of social experiences (Schwartz, Meca, & Petrova, 2017). Researchers showed that varied human being’s self-concepts are multidimensionality (Feng, Yan, Huang, Han, & Ma, 2018). Literature differentiates between actual and potential self-concepts (Sirgy, 1982). The factual self-concept which is also known as the actual self-concept is the current perceived state of the person and it reflects his current image of himself (Asthakova, Swimberghe, & Wooldridge, 2017). Particular characteristics like attitudes and physical characteristics like the hair colour and the height as well as the social identity like nationality or group memberships represent the individual’s actual self-concept (Barnett & Womack, 2015). The potential self-concept is a specific self the individual could achieve in the future (Mishra, 2016). The potential future self-concept works as an incentive with regard to the individual’s future behaviour due to the development of desirable and avoidable images (Ein-Gar, 2015). Due to the potential self-concept the actual self-concept gets an interpretative and assessable context. The ideal self-concept is a formation of the future potential self-concept as it represents the attainment of the desired image of a person (Tsai, Chang, & Ho, 2015). The ideal self-concept refers as a reference point which the individual compares with his actual self-concept (Samphirao, 2016). Due to the self-esteem motive people try to achieve their ideal self-concept if they identify a gap after the comparison between the actual self-concept and the ideal self-concept (Japutra, Ekinci, Simkin, & Nguyen, 2018). Values of society are able to influence the stable construct of the desired ideal self-concept (Mandel, Rucker, Levav, & Galinsky, 2017). Another dimension of the self-concept is the social self-concept that represents the image the individual thinks others might attach to him (Sirgy, 1982). At the same time only one type of composition of the self could be active but the individual’s self-concept contains various multiple self-concepts with several functions (Turner-Zwinkels, Postmes, & van Zomeren, 2015).

The working self-concept proposes that the self-concept is malleable, dynamic and changing in response to different situations (Showers, Ditzfeld, & Zeigler-Hill, 2015). The working self-concept includes peripheral as well as central self-concepts. The peripheral self-concepts will be activated if social situations change (Berkman, Livingston, & Kahn, 2017). The central self-concept are resistant to changes and they are understood as the core of the working self-concept (Markus & Wurf, 1987). Only the activated self-concepts influence the individual’s behaviour and therefore it is a changeable and a variable construct. The human beings’ motivational status and the situational aspects build the basis for the different activated self-concepts (Brenner, Serpe, & Stryker, 2018). The Self-Congruity Theory explains that individuals possess a need to express their self-identity (Sirgy, 1982).

There exist three types of motivation people aspire to congruency: The first type of motivation is the basic need of human beings to pursue or to increase a positive self-esteem (Sedikides, Gaertner, & Cai, 2015). The gain of self-esteem might lead to a behaviour which allows the achievement of the individual’s ideal self-concept (Samphirao, 2016). The self-esteem represents the incongruity between the actual and the ideal self (Ümmet, 2015). To be able to measure the distance between the actual
and the ideal self it is necessary that people have a desirable image of their personality (Mandel et al., 2017). The aspiration for social acceptance comes with the quest of a positive self-esteem (Mahadevan, Gregg, Sedikides, & Waal-Andrews, 2016). The human beings’ behaviour is influenced by his aspiration of a higher level of self-esteem and this enables the attainment of the individual’s ideal self-concept (Japutra et al., 2018).

The second type of motivation is the customer’s aspiration for consistency (Sutton, 2018). Reliability, predictability and resistance are preferred by human beings (Setyawan & Kussudiyarsana, 2015). Individuals possess an intrinsic need to support and to confirm their self-identity (Obschonka, Silbereisen, Cantner, & Goethner, 2015). The social environmental exploratory feedback mechanisms provide the basis for the self-consistency (Richman, Slotter, Gardner, & DeWall, 2015). People try to achieve authentication granted by a third person as regards of their self-concept (Whelpley & McDaniel, 2016).

The ambition of self-assessment represents the third type of motivation (Sedikides et al., 2015). The hypothesis of congruency was revised in the past but with reference to the actual and the ideal self it is still valid (Tooray & Oodith, 2017). The individual’s behaviour depends on the various roles which are required by their environment which points out that their self is not stable (Aaker, 1999).

2.3 Symbolic Interactionism

The concept of the symbolic interactionism deals with the involvement of the individual in the social context. The focus of this concept is the influence and the shaping of an individual by society and the impact of the interaction of individuals with respect to society (Chang, Wang, Guo, & Cui, 2018). The “looking-glass-self” (Sirgy, 1982) is explained as the reflection of the individual’s interaction with his environment and it helps the customer to preserve awareness of his self (Gecas & Schwalbe, 1983). With reference to the looking-glass-self the social behaviour between society and social groups plays a major role (Cast & Stets, 2016). A successful communication is possible if transmitter and acceptor possess symbols with the same constituted meaning (Carter & Fuller, 2016). The effect of the specific symbols indicate that human beings behave according to what they are expected to do (Kenny & Fourie, 2015). It is due to the social interactionism and will become effective because of the interpretative process (Chang et al., 2018). In the context of existing interactions situations and actions occur during an evolving process of interactionism. They are not determined by structural and functional specifications (Fischer & Wiswede, 2009). People intend to become members of positively evaluated groups because of their intention to achieve a positive social identity and the achievement of self-worth (Martiny & Rubin, 2016). Human beings try to maintain or to create a positive assessment. Individual’s social identity is provided by his membership to a specific group and the group evaluation (M. A. Hogg, Abrams, & Brewer, 2017). The individual’s self-concept is build by self-assessments and different self-characterizations (Suchańska & Worach, 2017). Self-identification is separated into social and personal identifications. Social identification includes memberships to social categories and is defined as the totality of social identifications (Trepte & Loy, 2017). Individual’s personal identification represents human being’s facets of the person’s self-concept and the entirety of the personal identification (Chen, Urminsky, & Bartels, 2016). Depending on the situation people define themselves by their social or their personal identities (Wry & York, 2017). The individual’s membership to selective groups and the individual’s identification with social categories influence his behaviour (Zeugner-Roth, Žabkar, & Diamantopoulos, 2015). The human being’s self-esteem is a result of the comparison of the in-group to particular peer groups (Dimofte, Goodstein, & Brumbaugh, 2015). Individuals have the intention to achieve, keep up and increase a positively evaluated distinction of the in-group in comparison to out-groups (Fielding & Hornsey, 2016). People are able to satisfy the need for a positive self-esteem if the comparison leads to a positive result for the in-group (Martiny & Rubin, 2016). The
The relevance of the dimension of comparison and the importance of the comparison group leads to specific groups human beings choose for the comparison (Jetten et al., 2017). The more important the dimension of comparison with respect to the social identity is, the more meaningful is the group comparison with reference to the development of a positive social identity (Haslam, Cornelissen, & Werner, 2017). The result of comparison is more significant if the out-group possesses a high relevance for the individual. Due to a modification of the comparison parameters people have the possibility to change and to influence the assessment (Mor Barak et al., 2016).

Social mobility is an individualistic strategy to achieve and create a positive distinction and a positive social identity. To become a member of an out-group with a higher level of social status it is necessary to reduce the identification with the in-group (Trepte & Loy, 2017).

With the help of social creativity human beings are able to modify the dimensions of comparison. Another option is the avoidance of a comparison with an out-group with a higher level of status (Martiny & Rubin, 2016). A part of the individual’s self-concept refers to the membership to particular social groups and the type of relationship among these groups (McGowan, Shiu, & Hassan, 2017). The pursuit of a positive social identity leads persons try to become members of groups which have a positive assessment (Mittal, 2015). Within the totality of the individual self-concept the representation of the different social identifications represents the social identity and it arises due to the process of categorization (Steffens, Schuh, Haslam, Perez, & van Dick, 2015).

The self-concept consists of the personal and the social identity and could influence the individual’s self-concept in negative or a positive way (Constantine, 2017). Literature differentiates between interpersonal and intergroup behaviour (Gardner & Garr-Schultz, 2017). The personality identity is based on the interpersonal behaviour whereas the social identity is based on the intergroup behaviour (M. A. Hogg et al., 2017). The self-concept is enormously influenced by the social identity due to the fact that human beings mention group-based characteristics to explain who they are (Vernuccio, Pagani, Barbarossa, & Pastore, 2015). Individual’s characteristics like gender, race or age leads automatically to a specific group membership (M. A. Hogg et al., 2017). The individual’s possession of preferred group symbols signalize to the members that this person have the same symbolic values which leads to the member’s acceptance (Harrison et al., 2018). Group memberships enable human beings to extend their self-concept and increase their social status (Trepte & Loy, 2017). Because of repeated interactions between individuals symbolic interactionism shows how society is build (Tran & Pham, 2016). The concept of a situational self-image represents one example of a concept which is the person’s self-concept at a particular point in time (Roe & Bruwer, 2017). The desired self-image of a person which he tries to communicate to others with respect to attitude, feelings, apperception and the behaviour resulting from this ambition is understood as the situational self-image (Bloch & Richins, 1992). To communicate their specific image of themselves individuals are willing to adjust their behaviour to achieve this image in the minds of the reference group (Hart, Adams, Burton, & Tortoriello, 2017). Impression management is meant when people behave in a specific way to receive the desired reaction on behalf of the reference group (Benthaus, Risis, & Beck, 2016). Specific situations and social stimuli influence the behaviour of human beings. People develop different self-concepts with different personality traits depending on respective situations (Fox et al., 2018).

### 2.4 Symbolic consumer behavior

The functional relevance of products is less relevant than their symbolic meaning (Grubb & Grathwohl, 1967). Customers define and develop their self as well as they communicate with the social environment with the possession of products. Products are no longer used to satisfy only the core benefit (Mittal, 2015). To guarantee a symbolic communication without any misunderstandings it is necessary that all involved individuals interpret the symbols in the same way (Grouchy, D’Eleuterio,
Christiansen, & Lipson, 2016). Human beings define most of the existing brands and products as symbols because of the medial accumulation of acceptation and the cultural context (Išoraitė, 2018). To communicate their current self-image or to minimize the gap between the current and the ideal self-image human beings use brands (Ross, 1971). According to the theory of self-enhancement human beings are able to maintain or to increase their current self-concept due to the consumption of a brand (Malhotra, 1988). Products and brands are stimuli, which might help individuals to define their self-image. This perception underlines the impact of the symbolic use of brands and is applicable for human beings with a non-established self-image (Solomon, 1983). Therefore customers are able to express their self with the help of the possession of brands (Aaker, 1999).

3 The Self-Expression Model related to luxury brands - Conclusion

The human being’s intrinsic pursuit of a positive self-esteem can be achieved by purchasing and possessing a luxury branded product (Roy, 2016). The self-esteem represents the distance between the current self and the ideal self of an individual (Ümmet, 2015). As a result of the positive and desired characteristics of luxury brands customers are in the position to reduce this discrepancy by the possession of a luxury brand. This leads to a high level of self-esteem (Zhu, Teng, Foti, & Yuan, 2019). Customers can express their desired self-concept due to the fact that the personality of the luxury brand is transferred to the consumer’s personality (Shimul, Phau, & Lwin, 2019). The owner of a luxury brand receives social acceptation by his environment because of this brand (Dogan, Ozkara, & Dogan, 2018). Due to the possession of this brand the social environment imputes him a high level of social status (Atwal & Williams, 2009). Prestige shows the social standing of an individual within society and its social status (Seo & Buchanan-Oliver, 2019). Customers have the opportunity to achieve social status and therewith prestige due to the possession of luxury brands. Brands and especially luxury brands are used and understood as status symbols (Roux, Tafani, & Vigneron, 2017). The possession of luxury brands shows the customer’s financial power as an expression of his wealth (Atwal & Williams, 2009). The individual who owns such luxury brands receives esteem and tribute by other members of society. As a result of this, luxury brands offer the individual a social value of gratification due to the prestige function (Sung & Phau, 2019).

People have a desire for consistency and a need for reliability, some consumers buy luxury brands to satisfy these needs (Can & Erdil, 2018). Their guarantee of high quality and their consistency is the reason why especially luxury brands are able to meet the need for an individual’s reliability (Uggla, 2017). Luxury brands enable consumers to express their desired personality and their desired self-concept as well as the possession of a luxury brand helps to distinguish from others (Ko et al., 2017). The customers need to differentiate from others explains the need for uniqueness. Luxury brands are predestinated to demonstrate the individual’s self-concept, as they have a high level of specificity and uniqueness, which normal brands do not possess (Kauppinen-Räisänen, Björk, Lönnström, & Jauffret, 2018). The function of distinction plays a major role with reference to the reasons why customers purchase luxury brands (J. E. Lee, Hur, & Watkins, 2018). Consumer have a strong desire for individuality and they are able to satisfy their need for uniqueness by the possession of luxury brands (Shin, Eastman, & Mothersbaugh, 2017). Customers perceive brands as unique if their possession is reserved for a minority. Only if this assumption is given the individual’s intention for uniqueness can be satisfied (Dogan et al., 2018). Luxury brands often reduce their offer to extend their uniqueness value which is preferred by customers who see a benefit in the function of distinction (Moulard, Raggio, & Folse, 2016). In comparison to ordinary brands luxury brands have a higher level of uniqueness which is due to the excellent product quality, their restricted availability and their high price (Seo & Buchanan-Oliver, 2019). Therefore they have the possibility to satisfy the individual’s need for uniqueness in a better way than ordinary brands (Kauppinen-Räisänen et al., 2018). Consumers who belong to the upper-class
use luxury brands to demonstrate their social status and to distinguish from human beings who belong to a different social stratum (Sung & Phau, 2019). Becoming a member of a specific group can be achieved by the possession of symbols which are preferred by this reference group and the membership shows the members that this individual has got the same values. As a result the group will accept the aspirant (Harrison et al., 2018). This leads to the result that the individual’s need for social appreciation is fulfilled and negative judging is avoided (Dogan et al., 2018). These mentioned symbols could be luxury brands. Due to the fact that most human beings try to extend their social status which comes along with the membership to an upper-class group, customers are of the opinion to achieve this with the possession of luxury brands (Conejo & Cunningham, 2016). The prestige function of luxury brands could be used to strengthen the individual’s status within a group and to show the membership to this group or it could be used to enhance the individual’s status (S. Lee, Baumgartner, & Winterich, 2018).

Luxury brands satisfy the customer’s prestige motive far better than generic brands due to their higher social attentiveness during the buying-process and due to the fact that they are more adequate to demonstrate wealth and social status (Tak, Pareek, & Rishi, 2017). Because of purchasing and possessing luxury brands consumers receive social attention (Shin et al., 2017). This attention helps to express the individual’s symbolic values (Sharda & Bhat, 2018). Luxury brands hold desirable associations and values which possess social and personal relevance like prestige (Ko et al., 2017). The prestige motive and the conspicuous consumption motive are main reasons why people buy luxury brands (E. Lee, M. Edwards, Youn, & Yun, 2018).

Chanel, Armani and Versace are examples of luxury brands that have a strong brand personality with a close connection to the personality of the founder of the brand (Dion & Borraz, 2015). As a result of this the luxury brand is understood as a symbol which comes along with an intrinsic character by transferring the attached personality traits of the brand to the personality of the individual (Shin et al., 2017). People are able to acknowledge and enhance their self-concept because of the co

During the process of self-realization brands underline the current personality traits of the individual and they enable customers to achieve desired characteristics which culminates in the achievement of the ideal self (Burmann, Riley, Halaszovich, & Schade, 2017). Especially luxury brands represent associations and values taken into consideration with reference to their aspired self-concept (Zhu et al., 2019). Consumers use the symbolic value of luxury brands to categorize themselves in society and to demonstrate their sense of ideal (J. C. Kim, Park, & Dubois, 2018).
A luxury brand’s long brand heritage amplifies the symbolic significance of a brand. Luxury brands possess a strong brand personality (Boccardi, Ciappei, Zollo, & Laudano, 2016). Customers have the ability to transfer the brand image to their own image and have the possibility to achieve their aspired values due to the symbolic value of luxury brands (Shimul et al., 2019). The fact that individuals buy products not only for utilitarian reasons but also because of their symbolic meaning explains that consumers buy products due to cognitive and even affective unconscious reasons (Seo & Buchanan-Oliver, 2019). Society has developed to a hedonistic society. The consumers’ desire will be satisfied by the hedonistic functions of brands (Roncha & Montecchi, 2017). The consumption of brands arouses feelings of pleasure, fun, happiness, good health and the feeling of aesthetic elegance (Stępień, 2018). The level on which luxury brands have the ability to satisfy the individual’s need to indulge himself, to reward himself or to grant something special to himself is much higher than the level of ordinary brands (Conejo & Cunningham, 2017). Most of the luxury brands are able to offer their consumers a very emotional experience and a special indulgence due to their impression (van der Westhuizen, 2018). Brands which communicate precisely defined values over long periods of time are qualified to express the current or ideal self (Becker, Lee, & Nobre, 2018).
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