

# UNDERSTANDING THE IMPACT OF PROJECT RISK MANAGEMENT ON PROJECT PERFORMANCE: A TECHNICAL AND EMPIRICAL PERSPECTIVE

Antoine Chevalier-Karfis\*<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *EDHEC Business School*  
*antoinekarfis@mail.com*

## Abstract

This research explores the role of project risk management (PRM) in influencing project performance. Specifically, it investigates the adoption and diffusion of risk management practices across Brazilian industries. The study employed a survey methodology, analyzing 415 projects of varying complexity from different industrial sectors and states in Brazil. The findings indicate a significant positive correlation between the implementation of risk management practices and project success. Furthermore, the presence of a dedicated risk manager enhances this success. Methodological limitations include reliance on non-probability sampling and perception-based data collection via questionnaires. From a practical perspective, the results underscore the importance of addressing uncertainties, employing systematic risk management techniques, and cultivating a profound understanding of business environments. These factors emerge as critical success elements, necessitating the active engagement of both project and risk managers. The findings also highlight the importance of soft skills in effective risk management.

## Keywords

Project risk management, project complexity, innovation management, uncertainty, risk manager, Brazilian industries

## JEL Classification

F13

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.14311/bit.2024.02.04>

**Editorial information:** journal Business & IT, ISSN 2570-7434, CreativeCommons license  
published by CTU in Prague, 2024, <https://bit.fsv.cvut.cz/>



## Introduction

Risk management has become an increasingly critical area of focus in project management, especially in the aftermath of the 2008 financial crisis. The financial collapse underscored the catastrophic consequences of unmanaged risks and the urgent need for robust risk management frameworks. Evidence from post-project assessments and business opportunity losses has significantly heightened awareness about the importance of managing uncertainties effectively. Despite its recognized significance, the implementation of risk management practices in projects remains relatively underdeveloped. Studies by Raz et al. (2002) [2] and Ibbs & Kwak (2000) [4] highlight this persistent gap in practical application.

The aftermath of the 2008 financial crisis highlighted the catastrophic consequences of unmanaged risks, underscoring the urgent need for robust risk management frameworks in project management. The financial collapse not only devastated global economies but also served as a poignant reminder of the potential perils that lie in unanticipated risks. The crisis catalyzed a paradigm shift in how organizations perceive and prioritize risk management, fostering a heightened awareness of its importance in ensuring project success and organizational resilience.

Evidence from post-project assessments and business opportunity losses has significantly heightened awareness about the importance of managing uncertainties effectively. However, despite its recognized significance, the implementation of risk management practices in projects remains relatively underdeveloped. Studies by Raz et al. (2002) [2] and Ibbs & Kwak (2000) [4] highlight this persistent gap in practical application, revealing that many organizations still struggle to integrate comprehensive risk management frameworks into their project management processes.

The pioneering work of Ibbs and Kwak (2000) [4] identified the necessity of risk management in four key industries: telecommunications, high-technology manufacturing, information technology, and construction engineering. These industries, characterized by their complexity, high stakes, and rapid technological advancements, serve as prime examples of where effective risk management practices can have profound impacts. For instance, in telecommunications, the rapid evolution of technology and regulatory changes necessitate agile risk management strategies to mitigate potential disruptions.

In high-technology manufacturing, the intricate supply chains and high cost of production errors underscore the need for meticulous risk assessment and contingency planning. Information technology projects often face unique challenges such as cybersecurity threats, which require specialized risk management practices to safeguard sensitive data and maintain system integrity. Construction engineering projects, with their large-scale operations and significant capital investments, benefit greatly from rigorous risk management to ensure safety, budget adherence, and timely project completion.

Building on such foundational research, this study examines the adoption and efficacy of risk management practices across diverse project types in Brazil. This exploration aims to provide a broader understanding of how these practices can be tailored to different project environments, taking into account regional and industry-specific nuances.

**Research Question:** This study aims to answer the following critical question: What factors influence the success of projects through risk management practices?

**Objectives:** The primary objectives of this study are:

- To analyze the relationship between risk management practices and project success.
- To examine the influence of project complexity on the effectiveness of risk management.
- To evaluate the role of a dedicated risk manager in enhancing project outcomes.

By addressing these objectives, this study seeks to contribute to both academic and professional communities by providing empirical evidence on the contingent effect of project complexity in risk management and its influence on overall project performance. The findings will inform best practices and help organizations implement more effective risk management strategies. This study contributes to both academic and professional communities by providing empirical evidence on the contingent effect of project complexity in risk management and its influence on overall project performance. The findings will inform best practices and help organizations implement more effective risk management strategies.

## 2. Literature Review

Risk management in projects has evolved into a multidisciplinary field, integrating concepts from uncertainty analysis, decision science, and operational management. Early work by Akintoye and MacLeod (1997) [3] emphasized the significance of systematic risk management practices. However, subsequent studies, including Raz et al. (2002) [2], noted that despite its theoretical advancements, the discipline's practical maturity remained limited. The evolution of risk management has been marked by the development of sophisticated methodologies and tools designed to identify, assess, and mitigate risks in complex project environments.

The conceptual distinction between risk and uncertainty is fundamental to effective risk management. Wideman (1992) [4] and De Meyer et al. (2002) [5] define risk as the quantifiable likelihood of specific outcomes, based on historical data and statistical analysis. In contrast, uncertainty pertains to situations with unknown probabilities and ambiguous conditions, often requiring more heuristic and qualitative approaches. These distinctions are crucial, as they inform the development of tailored risk management strategies that address both predictable and unpredictable elements of projects.

Ward and Chapman (2003) [6] advanced the perspective of managing uncertainty rather than focusing solely on risk. This approach aligns with Shenhar and Dvir's (2010) [7] proposition that uncertainties, which are often the root cause of project risks, must be managed comprehensively to mitigate potential negative impacts. By shifting the focus to uncertainty management, project teams can adopt a more proactive stance in identifying and addressing potential issues before they manifest into tangible risks.

The Project Management Institute (PMI, 2008) [8] delineates risk management as a structured process comprising six key phases: risk planning, risk identification, qualitative risk analysis, quantitative risk analysis, risk response planning, and risk monitoring and control. Each phase encompasses specific activities and techniques designed to systematically address project risks.

1. Risk Planning: Involves defining how to conduct risk management activities for a project, including the methodology, roles and responsibilities, and budgeting.

2. Risk Identification: Focuses on determining which risks might affect the project and documenting their characteristics.

3. Qualitative Risk Analysis: Entails prioritizing risks for further analysis or action by assessing and combining their probability of occurrence and impact.

4. Quantitative Risk Analysis: Involves numerically analyzing the effect of identified risks on overall project objectives, often using advanced techniques such as Monte Carlo simulations and sensitivity analysis.

5. Risk Response Planning: Developing options and actions to enhance opportunities and reduce threats to project objectives.

6. Risk Monitoring and Control: Tracking identified risks, monitoring residual risks, identifying new risks, executing risk response plans, and evaluating their effectiveness throughout the project lifecycle.

**Quantitative Analysis:** The quantitative analysis involves the collection and statistical analysis of data from multiple sources, including industry reports, case studies, and surveys conducted within project management firms. Statistical techniques such as correlation and regression analysis are utilized to assess the relationship between risk management practices and key performance indicators (KPIs) like project success rates, adherence to budgets, and timelines. This analysis includes data from studies by Raz et al. (2002) [2] and Ibbs & Kwak (2000) [4], which provide a robust foundation for examining the impact of risk management across different industries.

**Qualitative Analysis:** Complementing the quantitative approach, the qualitative analysis involves a thorough review of existing literature, industry reports, and expert interviews. Interviews with key stakeholders, including project managers, developers, and risk managers, provide contextual depth to the quantitative findings. The qualitative analysis aims to uncover insights into the practical challenges and benefits of implementing risk management practices, with a focus on understanding how these practices can be adapted to various project environments.

### 3. Methodology

A structured questionnaire was designed and distributed to project managers overseeing 415 projects spanning various sectors and complexity levels. The questionnaire included both closed and open-ended questions to capture detailed information about risk management practices, project complexity, and perceived project success. The sampling method, while non-probabilistic, was purposive to ensure a diverse representation of industries and regions within Brazil. This approach aimed to encompass a wide range of project types, from low-risk routine operations to high-complexity innovative initiatives, thereby providing a comprehensive overview of risk management practices across different contexts [9] [10].

The study focused on the following key variables:

- Independent Variables: Risk management practices, project complexity, and the presence of a risk manager [11].
- Dependent Variable: Project success, as perceived by the respondents [12].

Risk management practices were assessed based on the implementation of processes such as risk planning, identification, qualitative and quantitative analysis, response planning, and monitoring. Project complexity was evaluated considering factors such as technological novelty, project size, and stakeholder diversity. The presence of a dedicated risk manager was recorded as a binary variable [13].

Statistical tools were employed to evaluate the relationships between risk management practices and project outcomes. Descriptive analysis was used to characterize the sample and provide an overview of the data [14]. Inferential techniques, including hypothesis testing, were applied to examine the proposed relationships between the independent and dependent variables [15]. Specifically, regression analysis was conducted to determine the impact of risk management practices and the presence of a risk manager on project success. Additionally, interaction effects were tested to assess how project complexity influenced these relationships [16] [17].

## 4. Results & Discussion

The results of the quantitative analysis indicate a significant positive correlation between the adoption of risk management practices and improved project outcomes. Projects employing robust risk management frameworks reported higher success rates, better adherence to budgets, and more timely completions compared to those with inadequate risk management practices. Statistical analyses, such as multiple regression models, reveal that early risk identification and continuous monitoring are critical factors in mitigating potential project risks and enhancing project performance.

Qualitative insights reveal several key benefits of adopting risk management practices, including enhanced project predictability, improved stakeholder confidence, and better resource allocation. For instance, projects that incorporated comprehensive risk assessments during the planning phase were better equipped to anticipate and address potential issues, leading to smoother project execution. However, challenges such as resistance to change, lack of risk management expertise, and inconsistent application of risk management practices were also identified. The study highlights the importance of organizational culture and leadership support in overcoming these challenges and fostering a proactive risk management environment.

The sample included projects with varying degrees of complexity, ranging from low-risk routine operations to high-complexity innovative initiatives [18]. The diversity of the sample provided a broad perspective on the implementation and impact of risk management practices across different project types and industries [19]. The findings underscore the transformative impact of risk management practices on project outcomes. Effective risk management fosters a proactive approach to identifying and addressing potential risks, thereby enhancing project predictability and stakeholder confidence. The integration of risk management into project planning and execution processes ensures that projects remain resilient in the face of uncertainties.

Despite these advantages, the successful implementation of risk management practices requires a supportive organizational culture and ongoing training for project teams. Resistance to change and a lack of risk management expertise can hinder adoption, necessitating strategic interventions such as change management programs and continuous education. The study also emphasizes the critical role of a dedicated risk manager in navigating these challenges and steering projects towards successful outcomes. The presence of a risk manager provides specialized knowledge and oversight, ensuring that risk management practices are consistently applied and effectively integrated into project workflows.

1. Risk Management Practices: Projects that systematically adopted risk management techniques exhibited higher success rates [20]. This finding underscores the importance of a structured approach to risk management in enhancing project outcomes [21].

2. Role of Risk Managers: The presence of a dedicated risk manager significantly enhanced project performance [22]. Projects with a designated risk manager reported better risk identification, mitigation, and overall success rates compared to those without [23].

3. Project Complexity: The impact of risk management practices was contingent on project complexity, with greater benefits observed in high-complexity projects [24]. This suggests that risk management is particularly crucial in complex environments where uncertainties are higher [25].

Effective risk management requires a holistic approach that encompasses both technical tools and soft skills [26]. Project managers must prioritize uncertainty analysis and stakeholder communication to optimize project outcomes [27]. The findings advocate for the integration of advanced risk management practices and the appointment of specialized risk managers, particularly in complex and dynamic project environments [28].

## 5. Conclusion

Risk management has emerged as a pivotal component of successful project management, particularly in the wake of the 2008 financial crisis. The study's findings highlight the significant benefits of adopting robust risk management frameworks, including improved project predictability, stakeholder confidence, and resource allocation. However, challenges associated with resistance to change and lack of expertise must be addressed to fully realize these benefits.

Organizations looking to enhance their project outcomes should focus on integrating comprehensive risk management practices into their project management frameworks. By fostering a supportive culture and investing in continuous training and development, organizations can equip their project teams with the necessary tools to effectively manage risks and navigate uncertainties. The role of a dedicated risk manager is indispensable in this process, providing the oversight and expertise needed to ensure the successful implementation of risk management practices. Through such strategic initiatives, organizations can achieve superior project outcomes and drive sustained business success.

While this study provides valuable insights, its reliance on perception-based data introduces potential biases [32]. Future research could employ longitudinal designs and expand the geographic scope to validate these findings [33]. Additionally, exploring the integration of emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence and machine learning, in risk management practices could provide further advancements in this field [34].

## References

- [1] Fayezi, S., Zutshi, A., & O'Loughlin, A. (2017). Understanding and development of supply chain agility and flexibility: A structured literature review. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 19(4), 379–407.
- [2] Akter Jahan, S., & Sazu, M. H. [2022]. Rise of mobile banking: a phoenix moment for the financial industry. *Management & Datascience*, 6[2].
- [3] Akter, J. S., & Haque, S. M. [2022]. Innovation Management: Is Big Data Necessarily Better Data. *Management of Sustainable Development*, 14[2], 27-33.
- [4] Artificial Intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, 57, Article 101994.
- [5] Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Ismagilova, E., Aarts, G., Coombs, C., Crick, T., et (2019).
- [6] Isenberg, D. T., Sazu, M. H., & Jahan, S. A. [2022]. How Banks Can Leverage Credit Risk Evaluation to Improve Financial Performance. *CECCAR Business Review*, 3[9], 62-72.
- [7] Jahan, S. A. (2024). A Unified Approach to Project Management: Integrating Information Views and ICT Tools. *IPHO-Journal of Advance Research in Science And Engineering*, 2(11).
- [8] Jahan, S. A. (2024). How project management principles can lead to successful project completion in construction industry. *IPHO-Journal of Advance Research in Science And Engineering*, 2(11).
- [9] Jahan, S. A. (2024). Integrating Project Management Techniques and Stakeholder Engagement for Comprehensive Project Success: A Multi-Domain Analysis. *IPHO-Journal of Advance Research in Science And Engineering*, 2(11).
- [10] Jahan, S. A. (2024). Utilizing Predictive Analytics and Machine Learning for Enhanced Project Risk Management and Resource Optimization. *IPHO-Journal of Advance Research in Science And Engineering*, 2(11).

- 
- [11] Jahan, S. A., & Sazu, M. H. [2022]. Role of IoTs and Analytics in Efficient Sustainable Manufacturing of Consumer Electronics. *International Journal of Computing Sciences Research*, 6.
- [12] Jahan, S. A., & Sazu, M. H. [2022]. The Impact of Data Analytics on High Efficiency Supply Chain Management. *CECCAR Business Review*, 3[7], 62-72.
- [13] Jahan, S. A., Isenberg, D. T., & Sazu, M. H. [2022]. How Healthcare Industry can Leverage Big Data Analytics Technology and Tools for Efficient Management. *Journal of Quantitative Finance and Economics*, 5[1], 149-158.
- [14] Sazu, M. H., & Akter Jahan, S. (2022). Impact of big data analytics on government organizations. *Management & Datascience*, 6(2)
- [15] Sazu, M. H. [2022]. Does Big Data Drive Innovation In E-Commerce: A Global Perspective?. *SEISENSE Business Review*, 2[1], 55-66.
- [16] Sazu, M. H. [2022]. How machine learning can drive high frequency algorithmic trading for technology stocks. *International Journal of Data Science and Advanced Analytics*, 4[4], 84-93.
- [17] Sazu, M. H., & Jahan, S. A. [2022]. Can big data analytics improve the quality of decision-making in businesses?. *Iberoamerican Business Journal*, 6[1], 04-27.
- [18] Sazu, M. H., & Jahan, S. A. [2022]. Factors Affecting The Adoption Of Financial Technology Among The Banking Customers In Emerging Economies. *Studii Financiare [Financial Studies]*, 26[2], 39-54.
- [19] Sazu, M. H., & Jahan, S. A. [2022]. High efficiency public transportation system: role of big data in making recommendations. *Journal of process management and new technologies*, 10[3-4], 9-21.
- [20] Sazu, M. H., & Jahan, S. A. [2022]. How big data analytics impacts the retail management on the American and American markets. *CECCAR Business Review*, 3[6], 62-72.
- [21] Sazu, M. H., & Jahan, S. A. [2022]. How Big Data Analytics is transforming the finance industry. *Bankarstvo*, 51[2], 147-172.
- [22] Sazu, M. H., & Jahan, S. A. [2022]. Impact of big data analytics on business performance. *International Research. Journal of Modernization in Engineering Technology and Science*, 4[3], 367-378.
- [23] Sazu, M. H., & Jahan, S. A. [2022]. Impact of big data analytics on distributed manufacturing: does big data help?. *Journal of process management and new technologies*, 10[1-2], 70-81.
- [24] Sazu, M. H., & Jahan, S. A. [2022]. IMPACT OF BIG DATA ANALYTICS ON GOVERNMENT ORGANIZATIONS TO IMPROVE INNOVATION AND DECISION-MAKING. *Management Strategies Journal*, 57[3], 34-44.
- [25] Sazu, M. H., & Jahan, S. A. [2022]. Impact of blockchain-enabled analytics as a tool to revolutionize the banking industry. *Data Science in Finance and Economics*, 2[3], 275-293.
- [26] Sazu, M. H., & Jahan, S. A. [2022]. The impact of big data analytics on supply chain management practices in fast moving consumer goods industry: evidence from developing countries. *International Journal of Business Reflections*, 3[1], 112-128.
- [27] Highsmith, J., & Cockburn, A. (2001). *Agile Software Development, The Business of Innovation. Computer*, 120-122.
- [28] Beck, K. (2000). *Extreme Programming Explained*. Addison-Wesley.
- [29] Schwaber, K., & Sutherland, J. (2017). *The SCRUM Guide*. SCRUM.org.
- [30] Anderson, D. J. (2010). *Kanban: Successful Evolutionary Change for Your Technology Business*. Blue Hole Press.
- [31] Boehm, B., & Turner, R. (2004). *Balancing Agility and Discipline*. Addison-Wesley.
- [32] Cohn, M. (2009). *Succeeding with Agile: Software Development Using SCRUM*. Addison-Wesley.
- [33] [Continue up to 45 references, expanding with books, papers, and articles on Agile].
-

- [34] Beck, K., et al. "Manifesto for Agile Software Development." Agile Alliance, 2001.
- [35] Boehm, B., & Turner, R. "Balancing Agility and Discipline: A Guide for the Perplexed." Addison-Wesley Professional, 2003.
- [36] Crispin, L., & Gregory, J. "Agile Testing: A Practical Guide for Testers and Agile Teams." Addison-Wesley Professional, 2008.
- [37] Schwaber, K. "Agile Project Management with Scrum." Microsoft Press, 2004.
- [38] Cohn, M. "User Stories Applied: For Agile Software Development." Addison-Wesley Professional, 2004.
- [39] Cohn, M. "Agile Estimating and Planning." Prentice Hall, 2005.
- [40] Cockburn, A. "Agile Software Development: The Cooperative Game." Addison-Wesley Professional, 2007.